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Executive Summary:
Exploitation Strategy

This document summarises deliverable D6.2a of project FP7-231620 (HATS), an Integrated Project supported by the 7th Framework Programme of the EC within the FET (Future and Emerging Technologies) scheme. Full information on this project, including the contents of this deliverable, is available online at [http://www.hats-project.eu](http://www.hats-project.eu).

Deliverable D6.2a presents the partial result of Task 6.2 (Exploitable Strategy), which is concerned with the preparation of a technology usage plan for the HATS project. The goal of this task is to maximize the opportunities for market adoption of the project results.

The decision of splitting the initial planned deliverable (D6.2) into two parts (D6.2a and D6.2b) is due the fact that technologies (exploitable items from the viewpoint of this deliverable) under development in HATS are going to evolve, and new technologies are going to be developed in the next two years. As an initial version of the final technology usage plan, this deliverable presents a market analysis divided into characteristics, needs and trends; the description of exploitable items under development; and the strategies for better exploiting these items.

Deliverable D6.2b is going to update this information, provide the planned steps towards exploitation, and present the feedback from the information campaign and demonstrations performed in the scope of the project.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

The aim of this deliverable is to present a partial version of the technology usage plan for the HATS project. To this end, this chapter provides an overview of the project, discusses the deliverable goals in more detail, and summarizes its contents.

1.1 Overview of the HATS Project

As stated in the HATS project proposal, software systems are central for the infrastructure of modern societies and, in order to justify large investments, such systems need to live for decades. This requires software which is highly adaptable. Software systems must support a high degree of (spatial) variability to accommodate a range of requirements and operating conditions, and temporal evolvability to allow these parameters to change over time. Current approaches to reusability and maintenance are inadequate to cope with the dynamics and longevity of future software applications and infrastructures, e.g., for e-commerce, e-health, and e-government. At the same time, we rely increasingly on systems that should provide a high degree of trustworthiness. Thus, the major challenge facing software construction in the next decades is high adaptability combined with trustworthiness. A severe limitation of current development practices is the missing rigor of models and property specifications. Without a formal semantics for developing distributed, component-based systems, it is impossible to achieve automation for consistency checking, enforcement of security, generation of trustworthy code, etc. Furthermore, it does not suffice to simply extend current formal approaches.

The HATS project approach consists of taking an empirically successful, yet informal software development paradigm that supports variability, namely Software Product Line (SPL) Engineering, and put it on a formal basis. The technical core of the project is the Abstract Behavioral Specification (ABS) language that will make it possible to precisely describe SPL features and components, and their instances. Such a precise description will allow analysis of various system properties related to trustworthiness, for instance, security or performance [5]; it will also enable new opportunities in code generation, automatic product derivation, among others. The main project outcome is a methodological and a tool framework achieving not merely far-reaching automation in maintaining dynamically evolving software, but an unprecedented level of trust while informal processes are replaced with rigorous analyses based on formal semantics.

Therefore, the HATS project will give rise to a fundamental change in the way adaptable, long-lived software is documented, developed, and maintained. It will result in a leap in the degree of automation when systems are faced with changing requirements and it will make it possible to give security and performance guarantees that are at the moment only available for small, closed systems.

Numerous business opportunities will be opened up both for companies that produce and market new tools, as well as for those who use them. In particular, SMEs with highly skilled personnel will be able to profit from a rigorous development methodology.
1.2 Purpose and Structure of this Deliverable

Work Package 6 (Dissemination and Training) has the goal of spreading knowledge about the HATS ABS framework in the software engineering community, promoting a long-term perspective on software systems, and emphasizing that investments into more formal and sounds approaches will pay off in the long run (Task 6.1 “Dissemination Activities”). This work package also aims to identify the challenges and opportunities of integrating the ABS framework into today’s industrial practice (Task 6.2 “Exploitation Strategy”), and to develop and perform training courses and tutorials to educate practitioners in all aspects of the ABS framework (Task 6.3 “Training Program”).

This deliverable (D6.2a) presents the partial results of Task 6.2 “Exploitation Strategy”. The decision of splitting the initial planned deliverable (D6.2) into two parts (D6.2a and D6.2b) is due the fact that technologies (exploitable items from the viewpoint of this deliverable) under development in HATS are going to evolve, and new technologies are going to be developed in the next two years. Therefore, the challenges and opportunities identified in this deliverable must be updated close to the end of the project, when the technology usage plan for the HATS project can be finalized. The elaboration of a partial version of the technology usage plan at this early stage (T24) has the purpose of maximizing the opportunities for market adoption of the project results.

The remainder of this document is organized as follows: Chapter 2 provides a market analysis that includes characteristics, needs and trends; Chapter 3 discusses some related projects; in Chapter 4, each exploitable item identified so far is described and analysed according to strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats; Chapter 5 briefly presents the consortium’s effort towards a demonstrator for the HATS project; finally, Chapter 6 summarizes the challenges and opportunities of transferring the ABS framework to the industry, while it provides the information to be updated/included in the final deliverable (D6.2b).
Chapter 2

Market Analysis

The HATS project integrates formal models into Software Product Line (SPL) Engineering with the aim to support the development and evolution of highly adaptable and trustworthy software. This chapter provides the results of a market analysis performed in the scope of the HATS project, which covered the survey of current providers of solutions in the area of SPL Engineering, the survey of available tools, as well as the survey of related market studies. The elicitation of application domains and problems that would benefit (or have benefited) from a formal approach of such paradigm and what the current achievements and trends related to the integration of these two approaches are, are also part of this market analysis.

2.1 Market Characteristics

The market for the outcomes of the HATS project can be characterized by the current providers and consumers of solutions in the area of SPL Engineering, as well as by the currently available tools. Solution providers are the subject of the next subsection, as they can become future customers, competitors, or partners of the new formal approach and are easier to identify than consumers. The second subsection is dedicated to SPL Engineering tools. The tooling provided by the HATS project should add value when compared to the current available SPL Engineering tools, even though this tooling may need major improvements in order to be used in the industry. In addition, two market studies (subsection Market Studies) were found related to SPL Engineering, which could be purchased after further evaluation of the HATS consortium’s members.

2.1.1 SPL Solution Providers

Some strong providers of services concerning SPL Engineering, classified by the type of organization, are as follows:

- **Universities**: University of Hildesheim, University of Duisburg-Essen, and University of Bremen, all in Germany.

- **Research Institutes**: European Software Institute (ESI), in Spain, and Software Engineering Institute (SEI), in USA.

- **Tool Providers**: Pure Systems, in Germany, and Big Lever, in USA.

- **Consultants**: metadoc and Software.Process.Management, both in Germany, and EVOCEAN, in Switzerland.

The list above does not intend to be complete and excludes members of the HATS consortium on purpose.
Universities

The University of Hildesheim has a strong participation in the SPL research community. In 2009, for example, they offered a tutorial at the International Software Product Line Conference (SPLC) about a framework for assessing the status of a SPL organization along four dimensions: business, architecture, process, and organization [12]. Its main researcher, Prof. Dr. Klaus Schmid, is also one of the authors of the book: Software Product Lines in Action: The Best Industrial Practice in Product Line Engineering [12].

The University of Bremen has a research group on Software Evolution. Their work is based on the argument that a SPL often arises from the evolution of a existing single system. Therefore, they particularly work on technologies for supporting the consolidation of variants.

The University of Duisburg-Essen also has a strong participation in the SPL research community. They have carried out public and industry projects in this area, addressing different aspects such as requirements, architecture, testing, and variability management. Its main researcher, Prof. Dr. Klaus Pohl, is the first author of the book: Software Product Line Engineering: Foundations, Principles, and Techniques [32].

Research Institutes

The European Software Institute (ESI) offers training and consultancy services related to systematic reuse and software factory, which includes: introduction to systematic reuse, assessment of current reuse approaches, assessment of reuse risks and benefits, support for establishing a reuse based factory, and support for establishing a software product line for embedded systems. Two R&D topics related to SPL Engineering are: variability management methods and tools, and product family evaluation framework.

SPL is also a research and consultancy topic at the Software Engineering Institute (SEI). They provide services such as: assessment of the organization’s ability to succeed with a SPL approach, a cost model for SPLs, and tailored technical assistance. The latter includes support for: scoping and product line analysis; architecture definition and evaluation; asset mining, migration planning, components development, and testing; development of a product line adoption plan, business case, operating concept, acquisition strategy, and training plans; appropriate data collection, metrics, and tracking mechanisms. Two additional research topics are: (a) production planning and product derivation, and (b) connection of SPLs with other software technologies and paradigms, such as open source, aspect-oriented programming, model-driven development, service-oriented architectures, globalization strategies, systems of systems, agile development, and ultra-large-scale systems.

Tool Providers

In this section, providers of commercial tools are listed.

Pure Systems [http://www.pure-systems.com/] provides a tool for variability management with extension for several well-known tools such as BIRT, CaliberRM, ClearQuest, DOORS, and SAP. The company also provides training and consultant services on variability management assessment, migration to SPL, process analysis, architecture reviews, tailoring of SPLs, among others. SPL is presented as an adventure (“When the time comes and you feel adventurous, you may want to migrate from your current development approach to a (software) product line approach.”)

Big Lever [http://www.biglever.com/] provides an integrated solution that comprises processes, methods and tools. Product Line is presented as a simple concept and their tools interoperate with several well-known languages (such as Java, C++, Perl, XML), development environments (such as Eclipse and Visual Studio), and tools (such as DOORS, Subversion, ClearCase, Build Forge, Rhapsody, FrameMaker).

Consultancy Companies

With regard to the consultant companies, EVOCEAN is the Big Lever partner in Europe and offers typical consultancy services (analysis, evaluation, review and coaching); metadoc focuses its services on
requirements and technical documentation, and provides a feature modeling tool that integrates with DOORS. Software.Process.Management is a small consulting company founded by Andreas Birk, who has active participation in SPL events for the industry. He has organized the different editions of the industry-oriented workshop Product Line in Context: Technologies, Processes, Business and Organization (http://2011.pik-konf.de/index.html).

2.1.2 SPL Engineering Tools

In this section, SPL Engineering tools with their own web page are briefly described, ranging from commercial tools (Gears and pure::variants) to tools that have just been proposed (EMF Feature Model). The presentation order does not intend to reflect the level of maturity of the tools.

Gears SPL Engineering Tool and Lifecycle Framework is a commercial solution from Big Lever (see Tool Providers in subsection 2.1.1), which offers a uniform variation point mechanism to manage feature-based variations in all stages of the engineering lifecycle, and a product configurator to automatically assemble and configure the customer’s assets.

pure::variants Professional & Enterprise supports the development and deployment of product lines and software families. It covers analysis, modeling and implementation, as well as the family deployment activities. Further products, pure::variants for IBM Rational DOORS, pure::variants for Enterprise Architect, pure::variants for IBM Rational Rhapsody, pure::variants for Simulink, provide an integrated solution to work with well-established tools while enabling systematic variant management.

XFeature (http://www.pnp-software.com/XFeature/) is a feature modeling tool that supports the modeling of product families and applications instantiated from them. XFeature is provided as a plug-in for the Eclipse platform and allows users to define their own feature meta-model. Initial development was done under ESA contract 18499/04/NL/LvH by P&P Software GmbH and the Automatic Control Laboratory of ETH-Zürich. The first version of the tool prototype was released in summer 2005. The tool is currently being extended by and used at ETH Zürich in the context of the ASSERT project.

TRAVIS is a product of PURVENTIS (http://www.purventis.de/content/view/1/2/) that claims to: give a good overview of the causes and effects of the customer’s product variance, enable variant scenarios to be drawn up and modified in an effective manner, allow the simulation of the effects of variant decisions, and provide cost models that permit a robust evaluation of individual variants and complete variant scenarios.

FeatureIDE (http://fosd.de/fide/) is an Eclipse-based IDE that supports all phases of feature-oriented software development: domain analysis, domain implementation, requirements analysis, and software generation. Different SPL implementation techniques are integrated such as feature-oriented programming (FOP), aspect-oriented programming (AOP), delta-oriented programming (DOP), and preprocessors. The IDE was developed in the Computer Science Faculty of the University of Magdeburg.

FaMa-Framework (http://www.isa.us.es/fama/?FaMa(_)?Framework) is a framework for automated analyses of feature models that integrates some of the most commonly used logic representations and solvers proposed in the literature (BDD, SAT and CSP solvers are implemented). It has been designed and developed by the University of Sevilla as a Product Line (FaMa FM Core and FaMa Extensions). FaMa-Framework claims to be the first tool integrating different solvers to support automated analyses of feature models.

Moskitt Feature Modeler (http://www.pros.upv.es/mfm/index.php) is a free open-source tool for editing feature models. It can be used standalone as an Eclipse plugin or integrated in the MDE Moskitt Environment. It has been developed by ProS Labs based on the Eclipse Modeling Framework (EMF), the Graphical Modeling Project (GMP) and ATL, a model transformation technology.

RequiLine (http://www-lufgi3.informatik.rwth-aachen.de/TOOLS/requiline/) is a requirement engineering tool whose goal is to support the efficient management of product lines. It enables one to model the product line using features and requirements, and to derive product configurations from the specified model. Additionally it contains a consistency checker, a query interface, user management with different views, and an XML interface. It was developed as part of a PhD thesis of RWTH Aachen University.

SPLOT (http://www.splot-research.org/) is a Web-based reasoning and configuration system for SPLs that offers a set of state-of-the-art tools targeting academics and practitioners in the field. The system...
benefits from mature logic-based reasoning techniques such as SAT solvers and binary decision diagrams (BDD) to provide efficient reasoning and interactive configuration services. It is possible to edit, debug, analyze, configure, share, and download feature models instantly. In addition, SPLOT provides a feature model repository containing real and generated models to encourage knowledge sharing among researchers in the field.

The Christian Doppler Laboratory for Automated Software Engineering (http://ase.jku.at/dopler/) is developing an automated approach for product line engineering in cooperation with Siemens VAI. It is composed of DOPLER\textsuperscript{ VM}, a flexible and extensible approach for variability modeling, and DOPLER\textsuperscript{ UCon}, a user-centered approach for product configuration. The supporting tools are: DecisionKing, for variability modeling and management; ProjectKing, which guides and supports the product derivation and sales process; and ConfigurationWizard, which provides capabilities for product customization, requirements elicitation, and configuration generation.

EMF Feature Model (http://www.eclipse.org/proposals/feature-model/) is an open source project proposed under the Eclipse Modeling Framework Technology Project (EMFT). The project aims to define a standard representation of feature models inside the Eclipse platform. The intent is to provide a uniform representation for variability information for tools based on the Eclipse Modeling Framework. This will allow easy and consistent access to variability-related information, such as variation points and variant decisions, in DSLs, M2M transformations, and other contexts where variability information is produced or consumed.

2.1.3 Market Studies

Only two market studies were found when trying to answer the questions: how does the software market currently support the development of adaptable but trustworthy software? Are SPL Engineering and formal models included in the current solutions? Both are related to embedded systems and none makes reference to any kind of formal specification.

- The market study Software development in the product lifecycle \cite{31} includes SPL Engineering in its table of contents. It costs about 1850 Euros.

- The report Oki Eletric Industry Co. Ltd.—Financial and Strategic Analysis Review \cite{29} includes among the recent company’s developments: Oki unveils new MoBiz software product line for smartphones. Oki is a provider of info-telecommunication systems and MoBiz is their mobile solution for companies that use smartphones as business devices. The report price is about 90 Euros.

2.2 Promising Application Domains, Relevant Concerns, and Market Needs

In a survey of recent reports on the application of SPL Engineering with formal specification, we found that these technologies have been jointly applied in the domains of:

- insurance sales \cite{20}
- power generation plants \cite{39}
- ambient intelligence \cite{12, 1}
- telecommunications \cite{40}
- avionics \cite{38}
- medical devices \cite{19}
- automotive \cite{28}
• autonomous space exploration missions [14].

From this list, it is possible to assume that the integration of SPL Engineering and formal models can be positively exploited in any application domain in which software systems share a lot of commonalities (variant-rich software systems) and are business or safety-critical.

According to Becker et al. [4], one of the most prominent domains is the automotive industry. Due to the fact that the driving factors for innovation and unique selling points of today’s cars are software systems, handling variants and reuse in a systematic way is indispensable. Consider, for example, a car which the customer can order either as a two-wheel or four-wheel drive variant. One can easily imagine that all the control algorithms for the traction-control need to be designed in a different way for each variant. Furthermore, from a safety point of view, different critical situations (or hazards) may occur when four wheels are driven instead of two wheels. At present, each of these variants must be considered as a separate product and, for each variant, the whole development process, including especially the safety engineering activities and artefacts, must be re-applied mostly from scratch. With the upcoming international standard ISO 26262 [18] for functional-safety of road-vehicles, the compliance with the prescribed safety-engineering process is mandatory for the car manufacturers. Similar challenges are arising in the commercial vehicle domain.

Becker et al. [4] also point to the domain of medical devices. This domain, with an uncompromising demand for safe products, is also facing the software challenge similar to the automotive industry. More and more functionality is based on software, and different products often lie only a set of parameters apart from each other. Especially challenging in this area is the condition mentioned in the IEC 62304 standard [16] that if a dangerous situation can arise as a result of a software fault, the likelihood that the fault will arise is considered to be 100 percent. Hence, when software is part of a medical device, one cannot reason quantitatively about meeting the safety requirements. New approaches for qualitative reasoning via semantics of elements and not via numbers need to be exploited. In fact, this challenge is not particular for the medical device domain, but for all products where software is part of the overall system.

From another perspective, formal models in SPL Engineering have been commonly used to address problems such as: consistency checking [21, 11, 22], complexity [44, 40], evolution [11, 19, 15], variability in security requirements [25], automated software development [12, 23], and the already mentioned failure detection and safety-critical engineering [38, 19]. In this sense, PL Engineering associated to formal methods can also be exploited when the family of systems is not business or safety-critical, but when one of the aspects above are of high interest.

However, two issues concerning the adoption of formal models by the software engineering industry were found in the recent reports. Kim et al. [21] point out that formal methods are hard to understand by non-experts. Becker et al. [4] argument that semi-formal models (e.g., using UML) have become widely known and are the quasi standard in SPL Engineering as well as in Safety Engineering. They conclude that the integration of these two engineering processes should be based on a semi-formal representation as an intermediate step in the continuous process towards rigorous formal models and methods.

Furthermore, Clarke and Proença [10] emphasize the need for scalability, as software development involves increasingly larger feature models; and modularity, as many stakeholders have a vested interest in different aspects of a feature model and modularity techniques can be used to independently express their views.

Therefore, lightweight formal approaches that can be easily understood and integrated into the current practices, and that are scalable and modular would be welcome by practitioners. In terms of tool support, Chen and Babar [8] claim that practitioners expect to have integrated, standardized, and end-to-end tool support, instead of having different tools for closely related problems, and such requirements have been not
met yet.

2.3 Current Achievements and Trends

There are some major IT-trends under way: cloud computing [43], cyber-physical systems [33], and the internet of things [2]. A prerequisite for cloud computing is the ability to abstract away from physical resource allocation, load distribution, the architecture of the execution platform, among other concrete aspects. However, the question is: How does one specify intended behavior without referring to concrete resources? Likewise, the emergence of cyber-physical systems and the internet of things emphasize the need for abstract behavioral description of highly configurable and diverse systems. The HATS project clearly has the opportunity to address the challenges posed by these major trends and, consequently, benefit from them.

In addition to the major trends in the IT market, we have considered achievements as early signs of potential trends and we have tried to draw conclusions on potential trends from the analysis of papers published at the last International Software Product Line Conference (SPLC), the main forum of discussion on SPL Engineering.

2.3.1 Achievements as Early Sign of Potential Trends

A workshop on Automated SPL Engineering (ASPL) took place at SPLC in 2008 having formal methods as a hot topic. In 2010, it was time for the first workshop on Formal Methods in SPL Engineering (FMSPLE) [1]. For 2011, the two events (ASPL and FMSPLE) are going to be merged in order to increase the awareness of the community towards the integration of formal approaches into SPL engineering.

An article on “Formal Methods in Software Product Line Engineering” has been published in IEEE Computer recently (February 2011) [37]. This is an effective sign of the increasing interest of a wider community in the approach of the HATS project. The authors argue that SPL Engineering should be cast as a model-centric development process relying on a uniform formal modeling framework.

2.3.2 Potential Trends from the Analysis of Conference Papers

The first workshop on Formal Methods in SPL Engineering (FMSPLE 2010), held at SPLC, had contributions as follows:

- automated analysis of conflicts based on visual model language for contracts and deontic contract language [21]
- formal approach for merging feature models [7]
- a HATS paper about a theory of views for feature models [10]
- two lightweight extensions to Petri nets to model and verify SPLs [20]
- optimization of product instantiation using Integer Programming [6]
- usage of propositional logic in variability models and binding decisions [33]
- a HATS paper on a methodology for SPL Engineering based on formal models [9]
- a position paper on integrating software safety and product line engineering using formal methods [4].

The contributions in the main conference (SPLC 2010) were:

- usage of VDM++ to describe features [41]

---

1This workshop has been initiated and organized by members of the HATS project.
• usage of propositional logic to represent the structure and the constraints of a feature model, as well as the representation in fuzzy of soft constraints [3]

• formal syntax and semantics for documenting variability in activity diagrams based on Petri-nets [13]

• a HATS paper on the usage of delta-oriented programming of software product lines [35]

• description of a methodology to apply combinatorial testing to a feature model, based on the translation of the feature model into a binary constraint solving problem (CSP) and the usage of pairwise testing [30]

• a method for automated bug location, based on a boolean constraint propagation (BCP) algorithm for non-clausal formulas [27].

Taking into consideration both events (SPLC 2010 and FMSPLE 2010), some conclusions on trends can be drawn:

• usage of well-established formalisms to describe feature models [26, 6, 34, 41, 3, 13]

• persistence of consistency checking [24, 26, 34, 41, 13, 27], complexity [7, 10, 6, 30], evolution [35], and automated software development [3] as concerns of high interest of the community

• methodologies that can guide the application of formal approaches in the SPL Engineering processes [9, 30] have received as yet little attention of the community.

In fact, according to the analysis made by Chen and Babar [8], complexity and evolution are among the issues for those few approaches that have been proposed. Other technical issues are: knowledge harvest and management, design decision management and enforcement, extraction of variability from technical artifacts, and testing [8].
Chapter 3

Related Projects

Other relevant information when performing a market analysis concerns related projects. On the one hand, the identification of synergies with related projects may shorten the time frame required to obtain the project results, especially if cooperation is somehow supported. On the other hand, if related projects should be considered as competitors for any reason, the threat that they deliver relevant results before our own project should be taken into consideration. This chapter presents three projects that could be considered as projects related to HATS.

RODIN (Rigorous Open Development Environment for Complex Systems) was an EU-IST project (511599) that ran 2004–2007. The objective was the creation of a methodology and supporting open tool platform for the cost effective rigorous development of dependable complex systems and services. The focus was on tackling complexity caused by the environment in which the software is to operate. The unified methodology combines formal methods with fault tolerance techniques, supports structured refinement and decomposition, as well as reuse of existing assets. The project approach was to extend existing formal methods with generic mechanisms to support component reuse and composition. The RODIN environment has been used in a number of industrial case studies within and outside the project: air-traffic information display system, a railway interconnect system, an engine failure management system, an ambient information system, and mobile telecom protocols.

CESAR (Cost-Efficient methods and processes for SAfety Relevant embedded systems) is a project funded by the EU (http://www.cesarproject.eu/). The three transportation domains (automotive, aerospace, and rail) as well as the automation domain share the need to develop ultra-reliable embedded systems to meet societal demands for increased mobility and ensuring safety in a highly competitive global market. To maintain the European leading edge position in the transportation as well as in the automation market, CESAR aims to boost cost efficiency of embedded systems development, and safety and certification processes by an order of magnitude. CESAR intends to provide:

- a better systems and software level environment for the development, validation, and verification of requirements and architectures called Reference Technology Platform (RTP); RTP will embody meta-models, methods, and tools for safety-critical hard-real-time system development supported by European tool vendors;
- a model-driven process for the compositional development of safety critical systems; through the RTP, the system design will integrate analysis of the extra-functional aspects into the primary functionality, thereby for the first time allowing integrated design of the entire system;
- an analysis process to establish an industrially applicable methodology for exploration of design spaces, multi-criteria constraint satisfaction and design decision making, with particular regard to safety and diagnosability properties.

SecureChange is a EU-FP7 project (231101) whose objective is to develop techniques and tools that ensure “lifelong” compliance to security, privacy and dependability requirements for long-running evolving
software systems. The project will develop processes and tools that support design techniques for evolution, testing, verification, re-configuration and local analysis of evolving software. The focus will be on mobile devices and homes, which offer both great research challenges and long-term business opportunities. The achievements should include:

- architectural blueprint and integrated security process for lifelong adaptable systems
- methodology for evolutionary requirements with tools for incremental requirements models evaluation and transformation
- security modelling notation for adaptive security with formally founded automated security analysis tools
- IT security risk assessment with tool-support for lifelong adaptable systems
- techniques and tools to verify adaptive security while loading on-device
- model-based testing approach for evolution.

The synergies between HATS and SecureChange are already being supported by the EternalS Coordination Action (https://www.eternals.eu/). This Coordination Action aims to coordinate research in the area of trustworthy eternal systems and provides a platform for mutual awareness and cross-fertilization among four “ICT Forever Yours” projects: CONNECT, HATS, LivingKnowledge and Secure Change. However, LivingKnowledge and CONNECT cannot be considered as closely related projects. LivingKnowledge is based on the vision that diversity is an asset and should be traceable, understandable and exploitable, but the goal is to improve navigation and search in very large multimodal datasets. CONNECT aims to enable continuous composition of networked systems to respond to the evolution of functionalities provided to and required from the networked environment.
Chapter 4

Exploitable Items

In this chapter, each exploitable item identified so far is described and analysed according to strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats.

4.1 Documentation Structure

This first section presents the structure used to describe all exploitable items.

Description: The description allows to quickly understand the contents of an exploitable item.

Intended Market or Sector: Some anticipated market where the item can be exploited. Since not all items are fully defined, this is an estimation based on the current state of the item and the first drafts of usage scenarios.

Application Domains: Application domains which the exploitable item is specially relevant to. They may constrain the intended market.

Usage Scenarios: Taking the intended market and application domain into consideration, it contains typical usage scenarios that might occur in the future. They are not intended to cover all possible uses of the item.

Final Status: A rough estimation of the state that the element will have at the end of the project. This is currently only a preliminary estimation, the final status will be elicited in 2012. Possible values for that status are the following:

- High level concept: Some kind of sketch for using the exploitable item is available, but the details are not clear.
- Fine grained concept: The concept is ready to be applied, but it has no tool support or training material.
- Prototype for proofing concepts: A proof of concept is available, but it might contain errors and it does not need to scale to industrial applications. In this context not only is a piece of software a prototype, a method with corresponding documentation is also a prototype.
- Prototype for internal usage: The proof of concept was applied in at least one real world example.
- Prototype for external usage: The implementation of the concept is usable for non-project members and it was applied in real world scenarios.
- Product: There is a product available which is ready to be sold, training material is available and packaged.

Intellectual Property Protection: if there are legal contracts or patents concerning the future usage of the exploitable item.
**Owner and Other Partners:** resulting from the distribution of effort, some project members are the leading developers of the exploitable item. Other partners have been involved without actually leading it.

**Main Contact:** if further usage or development of the item is intended, the main contact can provide additional information and coordinates future activity.

**Related HATS Deliverables:** at least one HATS deliverable describes the item in more detail. In most cases there are several deliverables that address the exploitable item.

### 4.2 ABS Language and Core Tools

**Description**

The ABS language is an object-oriented language featuring asynchronous communication and collaborative concurrency control. It is the common framework for modelling systems inside the HATS project, and will be used as basis for the different analysis techniques and tools developed inside the project. The core tools of the language are:

- A standard compiler frontend, including a parser and type checker.
- A Java backend to generate Java code and execute ABS models using the JVM.
- A Maude backend to generate Maude code and simulate ABS models using Maude.

**Intended Market or Sector**

The concepts are suitable for usage in education. In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users.

**Application Domains**

There is no specific application domain intended, usage in a broader sense is possible.

**Usage Scenarios**

Specification and modelling of software systems. The usage of ABS will enable the application of the analysis techniques and tools developed inside the HATS project.

In addition, it will be used at the university in software engineering and formal methods courses at graduate and postgraduate level.

An ideal way of disseminating the ABS methodology is designing lecture notes for, for example, a summer school to teach (a subset of) novel concepts by way of the ABS language. A common format would be the one used at a summer school, of, for example, 10 lessons of about 90 minutes each.

**Final Status**

The item will be available as a prototype for external usage.

**Intellectual Property Protection**

Currently there is no intellectual property protection planned.

**Owner and Other Partners**

All HATS consortium members were involved in the creation of the ABS Language and its core tools.
Main Contact
Reiner Hähnle \{reiner@chalmers.se\} (CTH)

Related HATS Deliverables
D1.1.a, D1.1.b, D1.2

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.1 (common analysis for exploitable items 4.2–4.4)

Table 4.1: SWOT Analysis ABS Language and Core Tools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Consortium</em>:</td>
<td><em>Consortium</em>:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Know-how in the development of object-oriented, concurrent, and distributed languages</td>
<td>• Not enough manpower to implement industry-strength tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Know-how in conducting empirical studies</td>
<td><em>ABS language</em>:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>ABS language</em>:</td>
<td>• ABS language is not known to the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Formal semantics</td>
<td>• Documentation is designed for researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Designed to support verification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Similar to existing programming languages that are used in practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Based on an already existing and used language, which was developed by one of the consortium members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Applicability shown in several case studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Opportunities
- There is a demand for adaptable and trustworthy software.
- There is a demand for model-based software development.
- To the best of our knowledge there are no comparable languages.
- There are no approaches in the product line community working with behavioural models that are close to the code level.
- Use of the ABS language and tools in acquiring further projects.

### Weaknesses × Opportunities
- Disseminate the ABS language in the industry community.
- Emphasize that the ABS language is similar to existing languages to improve its acceptance.

### Threats
- The work depends on external funding.
- The industry does not recognize the benefits of the language compared to existing languages and modelling techniques.
- The cost-benefit relation must be positive.
- The industry requires high-quality tools.

### Weaknesses × Threats
- Provide documentation for end-users so that companies can adopt the language more easily.
- Search for partners that can implement industry-strength tools and/or make the software open-source to benefit from external developers.

### Strengths × Threats
- Provide benchmarks to show the benefits of the ABS language.
- Provide empirical evidence on the cost-benefit relation.

### Strengths × Opportunities
- Demonstrations of the ABS language to companies that are interested in adaptable and trustworthy software, in model-based software development and/or product line engineering.
- Use of the case studies for demonstration.

### 4.3 Integrated Development Environment for ABS

#### Description
An Eclipse-based IDE for the ABS language and integration of further HATS tools is developed.

#### Intended Market or Sector
In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users. The concepts are suitable for usage in education.
Application Domains
Any software system that is fully or partially modelled with ABS can make use of this item.

Usage Scenarios
User-friendly development of ABS model and easy access to the ABS tool chain.

Final Status
The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

Intellectual Property Protection
It is intended to use an open source license.

Owner and Other Partners
UKL, UiO

Main Contact
Jan Schäfer {jschaefer@cs.uni-kl.de} (UKL)

Related HATS Deliverables
D1.1, D1.2, D1.3, D1.4, D1.5

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.1 (common analysis for exploitable items 4.2–4.4)

4.4 Interactive Simulator for ABS

Description
For ABS based on the ABS Java backend an interactive Simulator/Debugger will be provided.

Intended Market or Sector
In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users. The concepts are suitable for usage in education.

Application Domains
Any software system that is fully or partially modelled with ABS can make use of this item.

Usage Scenarios
Understanding of the ABS concurrency and execution model. Explanation and localization of faults (bugs) in ABS models.
Final Status
The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

Intellectual Property Protection
It is intended to use an open source license.

Owner and Other Partners
UKL

Main Contact
Jan Schäfer {jschaefer@cs.uni-kl.de} (UKL)

Related HATS Deliverables
D1.1-D1.5, D2.3

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.1 (common analysis for exploitable items 4.2, 4.4)

4.5 Models and Constructs for Components

Description
Complex software systems, in particular distributed systems, are often being thought of and designed as structured composition of computational units referred to as components. These components are supposed to interact with each other following some predefined patterns or protocols. The notion of component is widely used in industry. We are developing a calculus that extends the ABS language with a notion of component. Components can be seen as boxes containing and grouping objects, thus allowing the architectural structure of the system to be explicitly defined at runtime. A component can include, for instance, objects sharing some computational resources, or sharing a physical location, or sharing a security domain. Components can also be useful for adaptability and evolvability: components can be aggregated to form new components, components can move, components can be wrapped within other components that provide different functionalities to clients.

Intended Market or Sector
In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users.

Application Domains
There is no specific application domain intended, usage in a broader sense is possible.

Usage Scenarios
Specification and modelling of software systems is a typical usage of the constructs. The models for components can be used to give an explicit description of the architecture of the system at runtime. This is particularly useful for adaptable applications where the structure can be changed dynamically, thus allowing the update of the program during its execution, or its adaption to modifications in its environment.
In addition, it will be used at the university in software engineering and formal methods courses at graduate and postgraduate level.

**Final Status**
The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

**Intellectual Property Protection**
Currently there is no intellectual property protection planned.

**Owner and Other Partners**
BOL, UKL

**Main Contact**
Davide Sangiorgi \{davide.sangiorgi@cs.unibo.it\} (BOL)

**Related HATS Deliverables**
D2.1, D3.1.a, D3.1.b

**SWOT Analysis**
See Table 4.2
### Table 4.2: SWOT Analysis Models and Constructs for Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - Addition of a component structure to ABS is an interesting problem, both practically (in the sense that components are widely used in industry, at least at the architectural level) and theoretically (it brings in many challenging problems).  
- Our components are formally defined.  
- They give an hierarchical structure to programs that gives a better notion of composition than objects, and allow some run-time adaptation of software.  
- The structure of components helps to specify and prove properties about program behaviours. | - The HATS consortium does not have the manpower to carry out a lot of linguistic experiments and case studies involving components (as they are seen as an experimental extension of the ABS language). |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Strengths × Opportunities</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- The concept of components is widely used in software systems, well beyond the application areas of HATS (e.g., software families). Finding appropriate models and reasoning techniques for components is highly significant.</td>
<td>- Show that the combination of objects and components can be achieved in the setting of ABS using relatively simple linguistic constructs. This may push external people to investigate the concepts of components developed in other settings.</td>
<td>- Try to push the notions and concepts developed into other projects, or in other collaborations with industries.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.2: SWOT Analysis Models and Constructs for Components (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Strengths × Threats</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Other groups in the world are working on formal models for components, so the competition is strong.</td>
<td>• Show the novelties and the good properties of our model to the competition and discuss with them about collaborations.</td>
<td>• Disseminate the work via courses and tutorials to get other people involved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Industry requires tools and automated analysis, which, on the specific issue of components, might be difficult to attain within HATS.</td>
<td>• Integrate the experiments with components into case studies and demonstrations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.6 Type Systems for Deadlock Freedom

Description
The ABS language uses object groups to deal with concurrency. This concept can be used to ensure consistency among the concurrent processes that try to access and modify some shared data, but also can cause unexpected deadlocks. We thus develop a technique for the deadlock analysis in such systems based on contracts, which are basically types that give an abstract description of the behavior of the object methods tailored at deadlock analysis.

Intended Market or Sector
In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users.

Application Domains
There is no specific application domain intended, usage in a broader sense is possible.

Usage Scenarios
Analysis of software systems. The proposed technique can be used to ensure that software systems are deadlock free. The technique can be applied to systems modeled in ABS and can be extended to deal with other Java-like languages and to languages for service oriented computing.

In addition, it will be used at the university in software engineering and formal methods courses at graduate and postgraduate level.

Final Status
There will be a fine grained concept available that is ready for being applied.

Intellectual Property Protection
Currently there is no intellectual property protection planned.

Owner and Other Partners
BOL
Main Contact
Davide Sangiorgi {davide.sangiorgi@cs.unibo.it} (BOL)

Related HATS Deliverables
D2.4, D2.5

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The language on which the analysis is carried</td>
<td>• The HATS consortium does not have the manpower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>out is developed within HATS, and the HATS</td>
<td>to carry out large experiments and case studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>consortium has the expertise on object-oriented</td>
<td>on this specific item.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>languages, static analysis, and type systems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for concurrency that is required for carrying</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>out the analysis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Strengths × Opportunities</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Deadlock is one of</td>
<td>• Show, by means of examples, that the technique</td>
<td>• Try to get other people involved in the problem;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the most interesting</td>
<td>developed can indeed allow one to detect non-</td>
<td>possibly invest a PhD thesis on the problem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and practically</td>
<td>trivial and subtle forms of deadlock.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relevant problems in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concurrency.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Strengths × Threats</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• A lot of work in</td>
<td>• Excellence in dissemination (e.g., publish</td>
<td>• Develop some automated, or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the literature</td>
<td>the work in some prestigious conference).</td>
<td>semi-automated, analysis tools, based on the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exists on deadlock.</td>
<td></td>
<td>techniques and methods investigated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External people have</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to be convinced that</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the technique</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>developed is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interesting even</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outside the ABS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>language.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.7 Formal Verification Tool for HATS ABS

Description
The state-of-art formal verification system KeY will be provided in an incarnation that has the HATS ABS language as its target. Hence, it will be possible to formally verify ABS programs against their contracts.
**Intended Market or Sector**

Software engineering companies that develop safety-critical software would benefit from the item. The concepts are suitable for usage in graduate and postgraduate education.

**Application Domains**

Any software system that is fully or partially modelled with ABS can make use of this item. Especially safety-critical software is in focus.

**Usage Scenarios**

Central library methods in safety-critical systems might warrant the effort of formal specifications verification. The tool can support formal verification of safety-critical software that needs to be certified. In addition, it will be used at the university in graduate and postgraduate courses concerning formal verification.

**Final Status**

The item will be available as a prototype for internal usage.

**Intellectual Property Protection**

The tools are expected to be released under the GPLv3.

**Owner and Other Partners**

CTH (owner), UlO (owner), IoC

**Main Contact**

Reiner Hähnle \{reiner@chalmers.se\} (CTH)

**Related HATS Deliverables**

D1.3, D2.5, D4.3

**SWOT Analysis**

See Table 4.4 (common analysis for exploitable items 4.7-4.11)
Table 4.4: SWOT Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consortium:</strong></td>
<td>• It might be difficult to achieve a convincing degree of automation and usability during the duration of the project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Several members do world-leading research on formal analysis and verification of programming languages.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Know-how and experience in incremental and compositional verification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Considerable experience in creating and maintaining analysis and verification tools: specifically, KeY and COSTA are mature and well-established tools for Java which is a compilation backend of ABS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Know-how in a wide range of analysis and verification technologies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tool:</strong></td>
<td>• It might be difficult to transfer the case studies performed for scientific publications to an industrial context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There is an existing implementation for symbolic execution and formal verification of CREOL programs within the KeY system. CREOL is closely related to Core ABS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The COSTA framework based on compilation and partial evaluation is very flexible in supporting different target programming languages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A case study for verification of product families (in Java) has been performed; further case studies in ABS are available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HATS ABS**
### Table 4.4: SWOT Analysis  
*Formal Verification Tool for HATS ABS*  (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Strengths × Opportunities</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• There is a demand for advanced analysis and verification tools, in particular, for tools that work automatically</td>
<td>• Demonstrate with case studies to interested companies that an extensive and reasonably automated formal analysis of ABS models of complex software is feasible</td>
<td>• Convince industrial stakeholders, foremost in the safety-critical systems area, to develop the HATS prototypes further in a follow-up project of more applied nature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reduced time-to-market is a powerful motivation to employ advanced verification tools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There is a growing demand for formal analysis in certification of safety-critical applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To the best of our knowledge there is no symbolic execution engine for an advanced concurrent language such as ABS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• New technologies (in the context of verification) such as delta-slicing of proofs or online partial evaluation have the chance to boost performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Strengths × Threats</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Symbolic execution and verification of a concurrent language of the complexity of ABS has not been done before</td>
<td>• Use diverse methods and technologies in order to find out what works best</td>
<td>• Concentrate on the techniques that maximize automation and usability, for example, test generation and visualization, and search for external partners with whom these can be developed to maturity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Some incremental techniques, such as delta-slicing of proofs have not been tried before</td>
<td>• If full functional verification with a high degree of automation is not feasible, then concentrate on approximative methods which are still very useful in practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Additional funding required to produce industrial-strength tools cannot be obtained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.8 Test Case Generation Tool for HATS ABS

**Description**

The system KeY VBT (Verification-Based Test Case Generator) will be provided in an incarnation that has the HATS ABS language as its target. Hence, it will be possible to automatically generate glass-box unit test cases that satisfy strong coverage criteria from ABS models.
Intended Market or Sector

In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users. The concepts are suitable for usage in graduate and postgraduate education.

Application Domains

Any software system that is fully or partially modelled with ABS can make use of this item.

Usage Scenarios

The tool can support automatic generation of unit test cases, which is especially relevant after changes of models. The availability of formal contracts improves the precision of the generated test cases and allows semi-automated construction of test oracles. The tool can support formal verification of safety-critical software that needs to be certified. In addition, it will be used at the university in graduate and postgraduate courses concerning automated test case generation.

Final Status

The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

Intellectual Property Protection

The tool is expected to be released under GPLv3.

Owner and Other Partners

CTH

Main Contact

Reiner Hähnle {reiner@chalmers.se} (CTH)

Related HATS Deliverables

D1.3, D2.3

SWOT Analysis

See Table 4.4 (common analysis for exploitable items 4.7, 4.11)

4.9 Visual Debugging Tool for HATS ABS

Description

The KeY Symbolic Execution Debugger for ABS is a debugging tool that allows the visual representation of all symbolic execution paths of a HATS Core ABS model.

Intended Market or Sector

In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users. The concepts are suitable for usage in graduate and postgraduate education.
Application Domains

Any software system that is fully or partially modelled with ABS can make use of this item.

Usage Scenarios

Code understanding, explanation and localization of faults (bugs) in ABS models. The visualization capabilities go far beyond, for example, sequence diagrams, because all computation paths are (symbolically) represented. It is also possible to visualize the heap structure. This is particularly useful in early design and prototyping, because no concrete initial state is required to be specified in order to start debugging. The tool can support formal development of safety-critical software that needs to be certified. In addition, it will be used at the university in graduate and postgraduate courses concerning formal verification and automated debugging.

Final Status

The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

Intellectual Property Protection

The tools are expected to be released under the GPLv3.

Owner and Other Partners

CTH

Main Contact

Reiner Hähnle \{reiner@chalmers.se\} (CTH)

Related HATS Deliverables

D1.3, D2.3

SWOT Analysis

See Table 4.4 (common analysis for exploitable items 4.7–4.11)

4.10 Deductive Compilation

Description

The HATS consortium will develop a compiler from ABS to Java bytecode that realizes strong optimization techniques involving first-order deduction and partial evaluation. It is based on the symbolic execution engine for ABS that is part of KeY ABS (see Visual Debugging Tool for HATS ABS in Section 4.9).

Intended Market or Sector

In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users. The concepts are suitable for usage in graduate and postgraduate education.

Application Domains

Any software system that is fully or partially modelled with ABS can make use of this item.
Usage Scenarios
The compiler can be used for automated generation of optimized Java bytecode from ABS models. It is possible to instantiate the variation points in ABS models in order to obtain optimized code for the selected instances. In addition, it will be used at the university in graduate and postgraduate courses concerning advanced compilation techniques.

Final Status
The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

Intellectual Property Protection
The tools are expected to be released under the GPLv3.

Owner and Other Partners
CTH

Main Contact
Reiner Hähnle {reiner@chalmers.se} (CTH)

Related HATS Deliverables
D1.3, D1.4, D2.5

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.4 (common analysis for exploitable items 4.7–4.11)

4.11 Automated Derivation and Verification of Resource Bounds

Description
The state-of-art resource analysis system COSTA (see Cost and Termination Analyzer in Section 4.21) in its incarnation for core ABS and the formal verification tool KeY ABS (see Formal Verification Tool for HATS ABS in Section 4.7) are combined to KeY-COSTA to permit fully automatic derivation and formal verification of resource bounds of ABS models. This includes memory as well as runtime estimations.

Intended Market or Sector
Software engineering companies that develop software that needs to run in tight resource bounds would benefit from the item. The concepts are suitable for usage in graduate and postgraduate education.

Application Domains
Any software system that is fully or partially modelled with ABS can make use of this item.
Usage Scenarios

KeY-COSTA can be used to automatically derive symbolic expressions that give lower and upper bounds on runtime (number of executed steps) and memory of ABS models. The resulting bounds are formally verified and certificates are available. Interesting scenarios are devices with limited resources, but also models with real-time constraints. In addition, KeY-COSTA will be used at the university in graduate and postgraduate courses concerning complexity/termination of algorithms.

Final Status

The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

Intellectual Property Protection

The tools are expected to be released under the GPLv3.

Owner and Other Partners

CTH (for the KeY part), UPM (for the COSTA part)

Main Contact

Reiner Hähnle {reiner@chalmers.se} (CTH), German Puebla {german@fi.upm.es} (UPM)

Related HATS Deliverables

D1.3, D4.2

SWOT Analysis

See Table 4.4 (common analysis for exploitable items 4.7 4.11)

4.12 Behavioural Specification Framework for OO Components

Description

A Behavioural Specification Framework for OO Components, based on message traces of component interactions will be developed. The framework describes possibilities for specifying the behaviour of ABS components.

Intended Market or Sector

In general the research community in that area can make use of the framework. The concepts are suitable for usage in graduate and postgraduate education.

Application Domains

There is no specific application domain intended, usage in a broader sense is possible.

Usage Scenarios

In the design phase of software development the framework is used to provide a multi-level view of the behaviour of components.
Final Status
There will be a fine grained concept available that is ready for being applied.

Intellectual Property Protection
Currently there is no intellectual property protection planned.

Owner and Other Partners
UKL, CWI

Main Contact
Arnd Poetzsch-Heffter {poetzsch@cs.uni-kl.de} (UKL)

Related HATS Deliverables
D1.2, D2.5, D2.6

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.5

Table 4.5: SWOT Analysis Behavioural Specification Framework for OO-Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengths</strong></td>
<td>• Consortium members have know-how in the specification and verification of object-oriented components and programs. • Component-based case studies</td>
<td>• The specification framework is not known to the research community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opportunities</strong></td>
<td><strong>Strengths × Opportunities</strong></td>
<td><strong>Weaknesses × Opportunities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• There is a demand for specifying the behaviour of components in the design phase of software development.</td>
<td>• Use of the case studies to demonstrate the advantages of the approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threats</strong></td>
<td><strong>Strengths × Threats</strong></td>
<td><strong>Weaknesses × Threats</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The research community does not recognize the need for the specification framework.</td>
<td>• Provide benchmarks to show the benefits of the specification approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Provide examples and motivation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.13 Location Type System

Description
A type system extension for ABS that statically distinguishes far from near object references will be provided.

Intended Market or Sector
In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users. The concepts are suitable for usage in education.

Application Domains
Any software system that is fully or partially modelled with ABS can make use of this item.

Usage Scenarios
The type system is realized as a pluggable type system extension to the standard ABS type checker. ABS modellers use this extension to guarantee that synchronous method calls are not done on far object references.

Another scenario is to use the static knowledge to support the verification of ABS models.

Final Status
The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

Intellectual Property Protection
It is intended to use an open source license.

Owner and Other Partners
UKL

Main Contact
Jan Schäfer {jschaefer@cs.uni-kl.de} (UKL)

Related HATS Deliverables
D1.2

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.6
Table 4.6: SWOT Analysis Location Type System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Know-how in type systems for object-oriented languages.</td>
<td>• The scope of the type system is limited to the concurrency model of concurrent object groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The type system gives static guarantees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities</td>
<td><strong>Strengths × Opportunities</strong></td>
<td><strong>Weaknesses × Opportunities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• There is no existing comparable type system for an object-oriented language with the concurrent object groups model of concurrency.</td>
<td>• Try to generalize the approach to other concurrency models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Show, by means of examples, that the type system is expressive enough to handle realistic models/programs.</td>
<td>• Convince the research community that the technique is interesting even outside ABS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats</td>
<td><strong>Strengths × Threats</strong></td>
<td><strong>Weaknesses × Threats</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Several type and effect systems exist in the literature.</td>
<td>• Provide an easy to use implementation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Clearly work out the differences with existing type systems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.14 Monitor Inlining Tool

Description
A tool to embed program monitors for testing and security monitoring into Java programs will be developed.

Intended Market or Sector
Software development companies and companies who have security demands on their software applications are potential users of the tool. The tool is also likely to be used in software security education.

Application Domains
There is no specific application domain intended, usage in a broader sense is possible.

Usage Scenarios
The inline monitors can be used to support bug identification during software development and to monitor security in running software.

Final Status
The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

Intellectual Property Protection
It is intended to use LGPL.
Owner and Other Partners
KTH

Main Contact
Mads Dam {mfd@kth.se} (KTH)

Related HATS Deliverables
D3.4

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Expertise in security policy specification, enforcement and verification</td>
<td>• Insufficient resources to bring the technology and tools to a mature industrial level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The ABS language is designed to support verification</td>
<td>• The texts and documentation produced usually targets scientists and not industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Expertise in the development of tools for formal verification of software</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Case studies are being developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Strengths × Opportunities</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Growing demand for trustworthy software</td>
<td>• The design of convincing prototype tools</td>
<td>• Producing convincing proof-of-concept tools and case studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adoption of security policy enforcement in software development</td>
<td>• Performing industrial case studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Growing demand for formal modelling techniques for software security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.7: SWOT Analysis Monitor Inlining Tool (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Strengths × Threats</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Industry does not always recognize the benefits of adopting formal</td>
<td>• Demonstration of tools and techniques</td>
<td>• Use of rapid prototyping to develop user-friendly tools at the possible expense of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>design techniques and tools</td>
<td>• Choice of convincing case studies</td>
<td>efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The cost/benefit ratio has to be favourable</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Producing short but usable user manuals and testing these on “naive” users such as</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Industry expects high-quality mature tools for which the time frame</td>
<td></td>
<td>masters students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and funding of the project is insufficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.15 Software Product Line Verification Tool

Description

The tool allows software product lines described with hierarchical variability models to be model checked against temporal safety properties of sequences of method invocations formalized in temporal logic. Scalability is achieved through relativisation of the global correctness property on properties of the variation points of the variability model. As input to the tool serves an ABS model or a Java bytecode program, decorated with annotations (pragmas) capturing the variability model, and with annotations for all global and local specifications. The tool reports success, or else identifies the components that have to be corrected.

Intended Market or Sector

Software engineering companies with software product line development are in focus.

Application Domains

Software for which it is critical how it accesses resources over time, such as correct API usage, is in focus.

Usage Scenarios

Companies who develop SPL can use the tool to assure that no product of the SPL violates given temporal safety properties, such as API usage protocols or security policies of the underlying execution platform.

Final Status

The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

Intellectual Property Protection

It is intended to use LGPL.

Owner and Other Partners

KTH
Main Contact
Mads Dam {mfd@kth.se} (KTH)

Related HATS Deliverables
D2.5, D4.3

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Expertise in formal modelling and development of Software Product Lines</td>
<td>• Insufficient resources to bring the technology and tools to a mature industrial level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Expertise in components and compositional verification</td>
<td>• The texts and documentation produced usually targets scientists and not industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The ABS language is designed to support verification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Expertise in the development of tools for formal verification of software</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Case studies are being developed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Strengths × Opportunities</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Growing demand for trustworthy software</td>
<td>• The design of convincing prototype tools</td>
<td>• Producing convincing proof-of-concept tools and case studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Adoption of the Software Product Lines paradigm in software development</td>
<td>• Performing industrial case studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Growing demand for formal modelling techniques for Software Product Lines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4.8: SWOT Analysis Software Product Line Verification Tool (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Strengths × Threats</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Industry does not always recognize the benefits of adopting formal design techniques and tools  
• The cost/benefit ratio has to be favourable  
• Industry expects high-quality mature tools for which the time frame and funding of the project are insufficient | • Demonstration of tools and techniques  
• Choice of convincing case studies | • Use of rapid prototyping to develop user-friendly tools at the possible expense of efficiency  
• Producing short but usable user manuals and testing these on “naive” users such as masters students |

### 4.16 Feature Modelling Framework

**Description**

The feature modelling language $\mu$TVL provides a textual language and associated tools for describing and reasoning about feature models.

**Intended Market or Sector**

In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users. The concepts are suitable for usage in undergraduate, graduate and postgraduate education.

**Application Domains**

There is no specific application domain intended, usage in a broader sense is possible.

**Usage Scenarios**

$\mu$TVL is designed to be used in the requirements analysis and design phases for software product line engineering. Software developers can use $\mu$TVL to describe their feature models and attributes associated with eventual deployment platforms. The associated tools enable validity of selected configurations to be tested, along with exploration of the design space using constraint satisfaction techniques.

**Final Status**

The item will be available as a prototype for internal usage.

**Intellectual Property Protection**

$\mu$TVL derives from TVL, developed at University of Namur, so potential IP conflicts may arise there.

**Owner and Other Partners**

KUL (owner), CWI, UIO, FRH, UKL
Main Contact
Dave Clarke \{dave.clarke@cs.kuleuven.be\} (KUL)

Related HATS Deliverables
D1.2

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.9

Table 4.9: SWOT Analysis Feature Modelling Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• A solid background in theoretical techniques with skill in applying them to practical problems</td>
<td>• Limited experience with large scale software product line development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• $\mu$TVL has a formal foundation</td>
<td>• Limited contact with companies using software product lines</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Strengths $\times$ Opportunities</th>
<th>Weaknesses $\times$ Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Software product line engineering is increasingly becoming a technique for companies to consider</td>
<td>• Can relatively easily define examples and material about $\mu$TVL for dissemination</td>
<td>• Disseminate HATS work to companies using and planning to use the product line engineering approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Feature models for existing software (e.g., Linux kernel) are large and need to be better managed</td>
<td>• Developing formal underpinnings for next generation feature modelling languages is within the expertise of the consortium</td>
<td>• Build large case studies as demonstrators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Threats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Strengths $\times$ Threats</th>
<th>Weaknesses $\times$ Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Results depend heavily upon technologies developed by others</td>
<td>• Rely on formal underpinnings and background knowledge to more effectively solve problems around feature models</td>
<td>• Make contact with companies and more applied researchers using product line engineering approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A wealth of feature modelling languages already exist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.17 Delta Modelling Framework

Description

The HATS project develops a delta modelling design notation and programming language extension.
Intended Market or Sector

In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users. The concepts are suitable for usage in undergraduate and graduate education.

Application Domains

There is no specific application domain intended, usage in a broader sense is possible.

Usage Scenarios

The delta modelling frame work is designed to be used in the design and implementation phases of software product line engineering.

To support the design of software product lines, fragments of both design models and code can be specified using deltas. These can correspond to features, reusable chunks used to construct features, or to code repairing conflicts resulting from feature composition.

Delta modelling also supports the implementation of such fragments to incorporate into deployed products.

Final Status

The item will be available as a prototype for internal usage.

Intellectual Property Protection

Currently there is no intellectual property protection planned.

Owner and Other Partners

CWI, UIO, UKL, NR, BOL, KUL

Main Contact

Reiner Hähnle {reiner@chalmers.se} (CTH), Dave Clarke {dave.clarke@cs.kuleuven.be} (KUL)

Related HATS Deliverables

D2.2

SWOT Analysis

See Table 4.10
Table 4.10: SWOT Analysis  *Delta Modelling Framework*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Strengths</strong></th>
<th><strong>Weaknesses</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Opportunities**    | • Software product line engineering is increasingly becoming a technique for companies to consider  
                           • Good programming language support for product lines is lacking                      | • Limited experience with large scale software product line development  
                           • Limited contact with companies using product lines |
| **Strengths × Opportunities** | • Can provide top quality prototypes and tutorial material for disseminating our results, attract users of the toolset | • Establishing contact with companies with the aid of the unique portfolio of tools we will offer, by presenting them at appropriate conferences and so forth |
| **Threats**          | • Companies are reluctant to adopt new programming languages                    | • Make contact with companies and more applied researchers using product line engineering approaches |
| **Strengths × Threats** | • We can develop solid case studies to convincingly demonstrate the potential competitive advantage of using delta modelling | |

### 4.18 Hybrid Analysis for Evolvability

**Description**

The Hybrid Analysis for Evolvability is a suite of techniques for analysing evolution of software product lines in order to ensure the maintenance of trustworthiness as modifications are deployed.

**Intended Market or Sector**

In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users. The concepts are suitable for usage in graduate and postgraduate education.

**Application Domains**

Application domains where trustworthiness must be assured are in focus.

**Usage Scenarios**

Hybrid analysis for evolvability will be needed for the evolution and maintenance of software product lines.

When changes need to be made to a software product line, either resulting from maintenance or the addition of new functionality, appropriate static, dynamic and hybrid analyses need to be applied to ensure that performing the modification will preserve the trustworthiness of the entire product line.
Final Status
There will be a fine grained concept available that is ready for being applied.

Intellectual Property Protection
Currently there is no intellectual property protection planned.

Owner and Other Partners
KUL (owner), UIO, BOL

Main Contact
Dave Clarke {dave.clarke@cs.kuleuven.be} (KUL)

Related HATS Deliverables
D3.3

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.11

Table 4.11: SWOT Analysis *Hybrid Analysis for Evolvability*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Solid expertise in formal analysis</td>
<td>• Lack of extensive practical experience to evaluate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>techniques</td>
<td>real usefulness of developed approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities</td>
<td>Strengths × Opportunities</td>
<td>Weaknesses × Opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Good analysis tools will increase</td>
<td>• Establishing contact with companies with the aid of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the quality of software product lines</td>
<td>the unique portfolio of tools we will offer, by</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in situations where dynamicity and</td>
<td>presenting them at appropriate conferences and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>evolution occur</td>
<td>so forth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats</td>
<td>Strengths × Threats</td>
<td>Weaknesses × Threats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• It may not be theoretically possible</td>
<td>• Apply techniques to case studies as they are being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>to design useful analyses</td>
<td>the limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The combined expertise will be</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>crucial for designing computationally</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>feasible approaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.19 Model Mining Algorithms and Tool

Description
Algorithms and corresponding prototype tool for extracting models from software written in the Java programming language. The extracted, or mined, models are written in the ABS language.

The end result may be one or several tools.

Intended Market or Sector
In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users.

Application Domains
There is no specific application domain intended, usage in a broader sense is possible.

Usage Scenarios
These items are relevant in cases where legacy software is to be modelled using the ABS language, and where those doing the modelling need assistance. Such need for assistance could be due to inexperience with the ABS.

Given software written in Java, the algorithms and tool could be used to extract models, or early versions of models, semi-automatically from the Java code. The developers of the Java code would have to be available to know what the relevant parts of the code are and in order to answer questions from the tool.

Final Status
The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

Intellectual Property Protection
The algorithms and other scientific results will be published, and thus no legal constraints on their usage are expected.

The use of the tools will be limited only by the software license to be selected by the project.

Owner and Other Partners
KTH, NR, UPM

Main Contact
Bjarte M. Østvold {bjarte@nr.no} (NR)

Related HATS Deliverables
D3.2

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.12
Table 4.12: SWOT Analysis *Model Mining Algorithms and Tool*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengths</strong></td>
<td>• Experience in fields relevant to the subject matter: machine learning, static analysis, . . .&lt;br&gt;• We can build on existing tools we have made and extend them&lt;br&gt;• Real code is available for experimenting on in case studies, and the developers of the code are in the project</td>
<td>• Different research groups have quite different methods for attacking the mining problem&lt;br&gt;• Producing polished tools is not realistic given the available manpower&lt;br&gt;• We do not currently have a larger example that is non-sequential and that has both Java and ABS code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weaknesses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opportunities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Little if any existing work on mining and product lines: thus, low hanging fruits to be picked&lt;br&gt;• The work task is intentionally loosely defined to allow for experimentation&lt;br&gt;• Results that read and produce code get credibility from developers&lt;br&gt;• Models are hard to write, so developers require assistance, something that mining techniques provide</td>
<td>• Extend our existing tools and experience to make prototype tools that read Java code and produce results that developers can relate to their code</td>
<td>• Make smaller examples that are concurrent, and work with others on larger examples of that kind&lt;br&gt;• Make tools that work well in special cases, to have something to show developers and assist them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengths × Opportunities</strong></td>
<td>• Extend our existing tools and experience to make prototype tools that read Java code and produce results that developers can relate to their code</td>
<td>• Make smaller examples that are concurrent, and work with others on larger examples of that kind&lt;br&gt;• Make tools that work well in special cases, to have something to show developers and assist them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weaknesses × Opportunities</strong></td>
<td>• Make smaller examples that are concurrent, and work with others on larger examples of that kind&lt;br&gt;• Make tools that work well in special cases, to have something to show developers and assist them</td>
<td>• Make smaller examples that are concurrent, and work with others on larger examples of that kind&lt;br&gt;• Make tools that work well in special cases, to have something to show developers and assist them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threats</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Little if any existing work on mining and product lines: thus harder to motivate and publish&lt;br&gt;• Software engineers do not recognize the need for mining techniques or even understand what they are&lt;br&gt;• Underspecified problem makes it harder to know what a good result is</td>
<td>• Relate work on mining to our previous research using similar methods and solve related problems&lt;br&gt;• Work with real code and developers in order to understand how to educate other developers</td>
<td>• Strive to relate the different methods for attaching the mining problem to address a parts in a whole&lt;br&gt;• Make that work well in special cases, to lower risk of underspecified problem and make motivation easier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengths × Threats</strong></td>
<td>• Relate work on mining to our previous research using similar methods and solve related problems&lt;br&gt;• Work with real code and developers in order to understand how to educate other developers</td>
<td>• Strive to relate the different methods for attaching the mining problem to address a parts in a whole&lt;br&gt;• Make that work well in special cases, to lower risk of underspecified problem and make motivation easier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weaknesses × Threats</strong></td>
<td>• Strive to relate the different methods for attaching the mining problem to address a parts in a whole&lt;br&gt;• Make that work well in special cases, to lower risk of underspecified problem and make motivation easier</td>
<td>• Strive to relate the different methods for attaching the mining problem to address a parts in a whole&lt;br&gt;• Make that work well in special cases, to lower risk of underspecified problem and make motivation easier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.20 Quantitative Analysis of ABS Programs

**Description**

The FaStA—Future Static Analyser—analyses a given ABS model with regard to the use of Futures.
A summary indicates what percentage of futures are used in a non-trivial manner (i.e., stored in data structures, or passed to other objects). Quantitative analysis will assess the adaptation of the distinguishing features of ABS (and their interplay) in practice.

**Intended Market or Sector**
The analyser can be used in research in Software Engineering or at graduate education.

**Application Domains**
Any software system that is fully or partially modelled with ABS can make use of this item.

**Usage Scenarios**
The FaStA analyser will be useful to classify existing and future ABS programs, so that the stakeholders within HATS can judge without manual inspection of the code whether the respective program falls into their area of interest. The classification will also be helpful to assess the pervasiveness of this, and other, idioms not commonly found in traditional programming languages.

**Final Status**
The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

**Intellectual Property Protection**
Currently there is no intellectual property protection planned.

**Owner and Other Partners**
UIO (owner), NR

**Main Contact**
Einar B. Johnsen {einarj@ifi.uio.no} (UIO)

**Related HATS Deliverables**
D3.2

**SWOT Analysis**
See Table 4.13
### Table 4.13: SWOT Analysis  
*Quantitative Analysis of ABS Programs*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Consortium members have know-how in conducting empirical studies</td>
<td>• Higher interest in research than developing models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Sufficient resources or funding for a dedicated student for implementation</td>
<td>• Introspection of software quality does not directly generate review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The analysis does not get implemented for lack of human resources or funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opportunities</strong></td>
<td><strong>Strengths × Opportunities</strong></td>
<td><strong>Weaknesses × Opportunities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Students can work on the tool implementation</td>
<td>• Conduction of case-studies showing how the metrics indicate where and how a design can be improved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Demonstration of the usefulness of metrics for concurrent languages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Scientific publication in addition to tool development and empirical results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Threats</strong></td>
<td><strong>Strengths × Threats</strong></td>
<td><strong>Weaknesses × Threats</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Another consortium member could implement the tool</td>
<td>• Search for partner already interested in software quality/metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Provision of empirical evidence that assessment improves software quality and reduces development/maintenance costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.21 Cost and Termination Analyzer

**Description**

The COSTA tool is a cost and termination analyser for ABS models and Java bytecode programs.

**Intended Market or Sector**

In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users. The concepts are suitable for usage in graduate education.
Application Domains

Any software system that is written in Java (bytecode) or modeled with HATS Core ABS can be analysed with the tool.

Usage Scenarios

The system can be used to verify that Java (bytecode) programs or ABS models meet their expected resource consumption, for example, the number of execution steps (or derivations), the total memory consumption, the peak memory consumption when considering a specific garbage collection scheme, the number of tasks that might run in parallel, etc. It is also possible to formally verify the correctness of the inferred bounds using the KeY system (see exploitable item 4.11).

The tool can be used as a support tool for teaching complexity of algorithms. In particular, observing the intermediate steps applied by the system helps in understanding how to use classical techniques to infer upper bounds.

Final Status

The item will be available as a prototype for internal usage.

Intellectual Property Protection

It is intended to use the GNU General Public License.

Owner and Other Partners

UPM

Main Contact

Germán Puebla {german@fi.upm.es} (UPM)

Related HATS Deliverables

D4.2

SWOT Analysis

See Table 4.14
Table 4.14: SWOT Analysis Cost and Termination Analyzer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The consortium includes world leaders in static resource (cost) analysis of OO programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The source code of COSTA, which is a prototype cost analyzer for Java bytecode developed by members of the consortium, can be taken as a starting point for implementing an analyzer for the sequential part of ABS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ABS has a formal semantics which allows performing resource analysis directly on ABS models</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ABS has a relatively simple concurrency model.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Static cost analysis of concurrent programs has not been performed before</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The available manpower for developing the prototype analyzer for ABS is limited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Strengths × Opportunities</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• There is a growing industrial interest in automated resource guarantees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To the best of our knowledge, no other competing systems are in a position to provide similar results. This is especially true for concurrent applications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The use of ABS allows relatively simple representations of realistic applications; a successful resource analysis of such models can convince Industry of the interest of the approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Given the expertise of the consortium and the availability of a resource analyzer for Java, it should be possible to release a cost analyzer for (concurrent) ABS models before comparable systems for related programming languages are available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Resource analysis of concurrent applications should be performed fully automatically; this should definitely raise industrial interest in the system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reusing parts of the existing resource analyzer for Java together with the integration of the tool in the common user interface based on Eclipse should result in a sufficiently mature system to show the industrial interest of the analyzer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.14: SWOT Analysis *Cost and Termination Analyzer*
(continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Strengths × Threats</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Industry may find the final prototype not usable enough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Competing systems may be released</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Within the manpower available within the project, exploit the fact that a resource analyzer is available and that the level of expertise is high in order to produce a prototype as mature as possible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Aim at releasing the prototype analyzer soon, so as to avoid that competing systems are released before</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Focus research activity in handling concurrency, which is the main open question</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The results of the analyzer should be of practical interest to industry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.22 Partial Evaluation-Based Test Case Generator

**Description**

A tool for automatic generation of test cases from Java bytecode programs or ABS models by relying on the technique of partial evaluation.

**Intended Market or Sector**

In general all companies that do their business with software engineering are potential users.

**Application Domains**

Any software system that is written in Java (bytecode) or modelled in ABS might be the target of this item.

**Usage Scenarios**

The tool can be used to automatically generate test cases with respect to several coverage criterion, for example, loops must be executed at most \( n \) times, each instruction must be executed at least once, etc. These test cases are important for the validation of the developed models and software.

**Final Status**

The item will be available as a prototype for internal usage.

**Intellectual Property Protection**

It is intended to use the GNU General Public License.

**Owner and Other Partners**

UPM

**Main Contact**

Germán Puebla \{german@fi.upm.es\} (UPM)
## Related HATS Deliverables

D2.3

## SWOT Analysis

See Table 4.15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- The consortium includes world leaders in glass-box test case generation for OO programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The source code of PET, which is a test case generation tool for Java bytecode developed by members of the consortium, can be taken as a starting point for implementing a test case generation tool for (the sequential part of) ABS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- HATS ABS has a formal semantics which allows performing test case generation directly on ABS models</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ABS has a relatively simple concurrency model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Test case generation of concurrent programs is challenging, because it is, among other things, difficult to enforce a particular schedule when re-executing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The available manpower for developing the prototype test case generation tool for ABS is limited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Strengths × Opportunities</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- There is a growing industrial interest in automated test case generation which satisfy certain coverage criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Most of competing tools and techniques for test case generation focus on black-box approaches—glass-box approaches, if successful, can provide higher guarantees on the quality of the model/program under test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The use of ABS allows relatively simple representations of realistic applications; a successful test case generation of such models can convince industry of the interest of the approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Given the expertise of the consortium and the availability of a test case generation tool for Java bytecode, it should be possible to release a test case generation tool for (concurrent) ABS models before comparable systems for related programming languages are available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Test case generation of concurrent applications should be performed fully automatically; this should definitely raise industrial interest in the system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reusing parts of the existing test case generation tool for Java, together with the integration of the tool in the common user interface based on Eclipse should result in a sufficiently mature system to show the industrial interest of the tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.15: SWOT Analysis *Partial Evaluation-based Test Case Generator* (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Strengths × Threats</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • Industry may find the final prototype not usable enough  
• Competing systems may be released | • Within the manpower available within the project, exploit the fact that a test case generation tool is available and that the level of expertise is high in order to produce a prototype as mature as possible  
• Aim at releasing the prototype test case generation tool soon, so as to avoid that competing systems are released before | • Focus research activity in handling concurrency, which is the main open question  
• The results of the analyzer should be of practical interest to industry |

### 4.23 HATS Methodology

**Description**
A software product line engineering methodology that integrates formal methods for modelling changes of software systems in terms of variability and evolution, while preserving trustworthiness properties.

**Intended Market or Sector**
Organizations that develop long-lived software systems and either focus on reusability and correctness (security, functional, resource) of long-lived software components or are interested to do so are potential users of the methodology.

**Application Domains**
Any long-lived software system that needs to be trustworthy and adaptable can be addressed by the methodology.

**Usage Scenarios**
By following the HATS methodology, organizations may apply formal techniques and tools contributed by the HATS project to develop their software systems into a software product line that increases reusability as well as correctness. Organizations may already have a software product line, in which case the HATS methodology helps increases reusability and correctness of the existing product line.

**Final Status**
The item will be available as a prototype for proofing concepts.

**Intellectual Property Protection**
Currently there is no intellectual property protection planned.
Owner and Other Partners
All HATS Consortium Members are involved in the development of the methodology.

Main Contact
Peter Wong {peter.wong@fredhopper.com} (FRH)

Related HATS Deliverables
D1.1b, D1.5

SWOT Analysis
See Table 4.16

Table 4.16: SWOT Analysis HATS Methodology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Know-how in the methodologies for object-oriented, concurrent, and</td>
<td>• Lacks empirical evidence to support the practicality of the methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>distributed systems as well as product line engineering</td>
<td>• The HATS consortium does not have the manpower to implement industrial-strength tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Based on proven methodologies from industry</td>
<td>• The documentation is designed for researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Backed by domain experts in product line engineering</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Tool-oriented</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Focuses on maintenance (evolvability)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Explicit and clear mapping from technologies delivered from</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consortium members to steps in the methodology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Iterative, concurrent, incremental</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Allows partial adoption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.16: SWOT Analysis *HATS Methodology* (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Strengths × Opportunities</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Opportunities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• There is a demand for adaptable and trustworthy software</td>
<td>• Demonstrations of the <em>HATS</em> methodology to companies that are interested in adaptable and trustworthy software, model-based development, and/or product line engineering</td>
<td>• Disseminate the <em>HATS</em> methodology to industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There is a demand for model-based software development</td>
<td>• Use of the case studies for demonstration</td>
<td>• Emphasise that the <em>HATS</em> methodology is based on existing industrially-proven product line engineering methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• There is a demand for a methodology for developing and maintaining adaptable and trustworthy software</td>
<td>• Provide tutorial and practical guides so that interested companies to adopt the <em>HATS</em> methodology as soon and as easy as possible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To the best of our knowledge there is no comparable methodology</td>
<td>• Exercise every step in the methodology to demonstrate its benefit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weaknesses × Opportunities</th>
<th>Threats</th>
<th>Strengths × Threats</th>
<th>Weaknesses × Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Disseminate the <em>HATS</em> methodology to industry</td>
<td>• The work depends on external funding</td>
<td>• Provide benchmarks to show the benefits of the <em>HATS</em> methodology</td>
<td>• Provide documentation (guide books, manual) for end-users so that companies can adopt the methodology more easily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Emphasise that the <em>HATS</em> methodology is based on existing industrially-proven product line engineering methods</td>
<td>• The industry does not recognise the benefits of a methodology with emphasis on formal approaches</td>
<td>• Provide empirical evidence of the cost-benefit relation</td>
<td>• Search for industrial partners that are willing to adopt the methodology as a pilot programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The cost-benefit relation must be positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Search for partners that can implement industry-strength tools and/or make the software open-source to benefit from external developers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The industry requires high-quality tools</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The industry may be reluctant to adopt a new development method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The industry needs to either hire new employees or train existing ones to adapt formal approaches/model based developments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 5

Demonstrator

To present the HATS approach and its tools to companies and researchers, we created a demonstrator. The demonstrator is updated continuously according to the current status of the HATS project. In its current state the demonstrator consists of:

1. A tutorial presentation that introduces the HATS approach in about 30 minutes. This presentation includes a general overview of the HATS approach, an introduction into the ABS language, an overview of the ABS tool suite, and a brief explanation of the HATS case studies.

2. The ABS tool suite, including a standalone Eclipse with a pre-installed ABS plugin. The tools can be directly executed on the operating systems Windows, Linux, and MacOSX, and only require an installed Java Runtime Environment in version 6 (JRE).

3. Representative ABS models for the three HATS case studies, namely the Trading System, the Replication Server, as well as the Virtual Office of the Future.
Chapter 6

Conclusion

Two of the tools (FaMa-Framework and SPlot) presented in Section 2.1.2 (SPL Engineering Tools) already integrate mature formal approaches into SPL Engineering. Another tool (FeatureIDE) supports delta-oriented programming [36]. However, each of these tools only addresses an individual aspect. The expected HATS outcome is not only a tool, but also a methodological framework that will jointly address variability, evolvability, and trustworthiness. In fact, methodological support that guides the application of formal approaches in SPL Engineering processes has received few attention so far. The HATS consortium members have a great opportunity to provide such support. The automation of both engineering and quality assurance activities will reach an unprecedented level due to the replacement of informal processes with rigorous ones based on formal semantics.

In order to successfully exploit the project results, the HATS consortium members should try to adopt lightweight formal approaches that can be easily understood and integrated with the current practices, and that are scalable and modular. The tooling should offer integrated, standardized, and end-to-end support.

The next iteration of the present Task 6.2 “Exploitable Strategy” is going to update the information provided in this document, present the planned steps towards exploitation, and report the feedback from the information campaign and demonstrations performed in the scope of the project. As part of the update of the information, we plan to discuss, and possibly reach a consensus, about the type license for exploitation of the project results.
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Glossary

Terms and Abbreviations

**ABS** Abstract Behavioral Specification language. An executable class-based, concurrent, object-oriented modeling language based on Creol, created for the HATS project.

**Application engineering** Application engineering is a process that builds a single product by reusing artifacts from a product line artifact base.

**BDD** Binary Decision Diagram.

**Creol** A type-safe object-oriented model for distributed concurrent systems. Creol targets distributed objects by a looser coupling of method calls and synchronization.

**CSP** Constraint Satisfaction Problem.

**EU-IST** European Union—Information Society Technologies.

**Family engineering** Family engineering is a process that builds reusable artifacts that are stored in a product line artifact base. See also product line artifact base.

**GPL** The GNU General Public License (GNU GPL or simply GPL) is the most widely used free software license. It is a copyleft license for general use, which means that derived works can only be distributed under the same license terms.

**ICT** Information and Communication Technologies.

**IT** Information Technology.

**LGPL** The GNU Lesser General Public License (formerly the GNU Library General Public License) is a free software license that was designed as a compromise between the strong-copyleft GNU General Public License and permissive licenses. The LGPL places copyleft restrictions on the program itself but does not apply these restrictions to other software that merely links with the program. The LGPL is primarily used for software libraries, although it is also used by some stand-alone applications.

**Product line artifact base** A repository in a software product line containing all reusable artifacts.

**SAT** Boolean Satisfiability Problem.

**SME** Small and Medium Enterprises.

**Software product line engineering** A development methodology for software product families. It splits development into family engineering and application engineering processes. See also family engineering and application engineering.

**Software product family** A family of software systems with well-defined commonalities and variabilities.

**VDM++** extension of the specification language of the Vienna Development Method (VDM) to support the modeling of object-oriented and concurrent systems.